


EXODUS – WEEK 14

THE LAW TEACHES



F. Michael Slay

A DEEP Study

The Fellowship of Ailbe

Exodus 21:18–22:15 – The Law Teaches

Here we get civil laws governing theft, violence and the treatment of others. The ownership of slaves and of animals is detailed and contrasted.

There's a pattern here. This goes beyond just prescribing penalties for specific crimes to defining an ethic.

We're pleased to provide *the DEEP* studies in PDF format at no charge. We hope you will find them helpful and encouraging as you press on in your journey toward spiritual maturity in the Lord.

The Fellowship of Ailbe offers many opportunities for training, prayer, personal growth, and ministry. Please visit our website at www.ailbe.org to discover the many other resources available to serve your needs. This includes many email newsletters such as our worldview study *ReVision*, our devotional newsletter *Crosfigell*, and *the DEEP*.

T. M. Moore, Principal
tmmoore@ailbe.org

Thank you.

Exodus 21:18–22:15 – The Law Teaches
Copyright 2019 F. Michael Slay
The Fellowship of Ailbe
www.ailbe.org

All scripture references are noted. ESV stands for the English Standard Version. © Copyright 2001 by Crossway. Used by permission. All rights reserved. NKJV stands for the New King James Version. © Copyright 1982 by Thomas Nelson, Inc. Used by permission. All rights reserved. NIV stands for The Holy Bible, New International Version®. © Copyright 1973 by International Bible Society. Used by permission. All rights reserved. KJV stands for the King James Version.

1 Exodus 21:18-21 (NKJV)

“If men contend with each other, and one strikes the other with a stone or with his fist, and he does not die but is confined to his bed, if he rises again and walks about outside with his staff, then he who struck him shall be acquitted. He shall only pay for the loss of his time, and shall provide for him to be thoroughly healed.

“And if a man beats his male or female servant with a rod, so that he dies under his hand, he shall surely be punished. Notwithstanding, if he remains alive a day or two, he shall not be punished; for he is his property.”

People are troubled by this passage because they think it condones slavery. It doesn't condone slavery any more than it condones fighting. Yes, the only kind of slavery the Bible totally bans is shanghaied slaves, but it imposes important restrictions on all other kinds.

The words here, in context, imply that this rule doesn't refer to the indentured servant kind of slave. Still, it allows things we wouldn't tolerate today.

But that's just the point. This was written long ago when these practices were not only common but unregulated. These laws break the ice on the concept that there will be permanent rules on what you can and cannot do to a slave. Slave owners back then would have thought, “Baloney! I can do anything I want with my own property.”

Not with property that's created in the image of God.

The same concept applies to fighting. The rule is no longer the winner wins and the loser just loses. If you put someone in the “hospital,” you have to pay his “medical bills” and also compensate him for his loss of time. Again, some people would have surely reacted with something like, “Baloney!”

Nope. Human life is precious.

Slavery is still surprisingly widespread.

<https://www.cnn.com/2017/09/19/world/global-slavery-estimates-ilo/index.html>

<https://www.antislavery.org/slavery-today/modern-slavery/>

They cried out with a loud voice, “O Sovereign Lord, holy and true, how long before you will judge and avenge our blood on those who dwell on the earth?” – Revelation 6:10

This illustrates a horrible truth about man's sinful nature – people will do anything for money. Nothing is too evil. Thus, the only way to stop something is to take away the profit. This applies to elephant poaching the same as to human slavery.

The only way to stop it is to eliminate the market. That's what to pray for. That's what to work toward.

2 Exodus 21:22-25 (ESV)

“When men strive together and hit a pregnant woman, so that her children come out, but there is no harm, the one who hit her shall surely be fined, as the woman's husband shall impose on him, and he shall pay as the judges determine. But if there is harm, then you shall pay life for life, eye for eye, tooth for tooth, hand for hand, foot for foot, burn for burn, wound for wound, stripe for stripe.”

The ESV translates this literally here. The Hebrew says, word for word, “*so that her children come out.*” It even uses the normal word for child (“yellid”). This is one of the strongest pro-life passages in the Bible, but some argue that it allows for the miscarriage of the child without penalty. In addition to butchering the Hebrew, that interpretation makes no sense in context.

Obviously, this passage gives weight to the woman’s pregnancy; the presence of the unborn yellid changes the rules. Even if there is no harm, payment must be made. Yet, some people interpret this passage so as to treat the loss of this child as a non-event. They want to apply the words, “*there is no harm*” and, “*if there is harm*” to the mother only. Suddenly, the children – who are obviously important in the rest of the passage – don’t matter.

That shift in meaning, right in the midst of the opposite perspective, is absurd. It’s an impossible stretch – a desperate attempt to defend the indefensible. It would be amusing if it weren’t for the dreadful practice it’s attempting to defend.

This passage treats children in utero as not just as valuable as other children, but more valuable. They’re more vulnerable – so this makes sense. God gets to make this call and He has made his preferences clear.

The issue of abortion has divided our nation for decades and shows no signs of being resolved. Why haven’t we achieved great things?

Maybe we have. Can you imagine anything more improbable than converting the original litigant of *Roe v. Wade* (Norma McCorvey AKA Jane Roe)? Yet that actually happened. Shouldn’t that give pause to anyone who’s skeptical about miracles? Shouldn’t that make folks wonder if supernatural things might be involved? Shouldn’t that have been the tipping point for the whole abortion thing?

No. As awesome as Norma’s conversion was, it didn’t make any difference in the grand scheme of things.

Or maybe it did. Maybe “the grand scheme of things” is something else. Maybe I don’t understand.

Of course I don’t. Finite minds can’t comprehend God’s priorities. Besides, the Bible is clear on this.

*For as the heavens are higher than the earth,
so are my ways higher than your ways.* – Isaiah 55:9a (ESV)

There must be something else, something we cannot see, something totally other.

That something else must be more important than anything we can imagine.

3 Exodus 21:26-32 (NKJV)

“If a man strikes the eye of his male or female servant, and destroys it, he shall let him go free for the sake of his eye. And if he knocks out the tooth of his male or female servant, he shall let him go free for the sake of his tooth.

“If an ox gores a man or a woman to death, then the ox shall surely be stoned, and its flesh shall not be eaten; but the owner of the ox shall be acquitted. But if the ox tended to thrust with its horn in times past, and it has been made known to his owner, and he has not kept it confined, so that it has killed a man or a woman, the ox shall be stoned and its owner also shall be put to death. If there is imposed on him a sum of money, then he shall pay to redeem his life, whatever is imposed on him. Whether it has gored a son or gored a daughter, according to this judgment it shall be done to him. If the ox gores a male or female servant, he shall give to their master thirty shekels of silver, and the ox shall be stoned.”

Verses 26 and 27 lay out an important rule, one that obviously was not observed in antebellum America. Mistreatment of slaves is virtually banned; something as minor as knocking out a tooth leads to a total loss of the “asset.”

This is such a great restriction that it changes the concept of ownership. A master does not totally “own” a slave the way he would an ox. Thus does the claim that the Bible tolerates slavery take another hit. The word “slavery” remains, but the practice, as previously understood, doesn’t. This is a significant step forward in human rights.

The rules for oxen seem pretty obvious at first glance. But the rule about an ox that is *tended to thrust with its horn in times past* is intriguing. The provision that *it has been made known to his owner* is similar to many things in modern American case law. For example, on-the-job sexual harassment is (except for assault) only actionable when the harassment continues after a warning has been issued. It took a long time for our criminal justice system to sort this out.

The same courts that post the Ten Commandments on their walls could have saved themselves a lot of time by reading the rest of Exodus.

Americans tend to take our blessings for granted. Sure, we pay lip service to how we’re free to worship the one true God and free to tell others about Him. We also recognize that we have a legitimate, stable government that allows us to prosper.

But we don’t seem to realize how extraordinary all that is. The path that got us to this point was filled with twists and turns and narrow escapes. We do pay homage to the military, whose sacrifice and bravery got us through many of those narrow escapes, but do we fully appreciate that it was all God all along?

The long and winding road that led to liberty and justice for all began with the system of laws laid down by God at Sinai. We’ve updated a few details here and there, but this section of Exodus is roughing in the basic structure of western civilization. Praise God from whom this blessing flows.

4 Exodus 21:33-36 (NKJV)

“And if a man opens a pit, or if a man digs a pit and does not cover it, and an ox or a donkey falls in it, the owner of the pit shall make it good; he shall give money to their owner, but the dead animal shall be his.

“If one man’s ox hurts another’s, so that it dies, then they shall sell the live ox and divide the money from it; and the dead ox they shall also divide. Or if it was known that the ox tended to thrust in time past, and its owner has not kept it confined, he shall surely pay ox for ox, and the dead animal shall be his own.”

In 1986, when the Maryland legislature was debating the state’s first mandatory seat-belt law, the bill proposed was virtually unenforceable. The law did not allow a policeman to stop a car for a seat-belt violation; the stop had to be for something else. Only then could a ticket be given for failing to wear a seat-belt. Opponents argued that virtually no tickets would be written.

But the law passed because experts said that the ethical standard set by the law would lead to a significant increase in seat-belt use and thus save many lives.

It did. Even an unenforceable law teaches.

Here we see laws that teach people not to be negligent. If you do something irresponsible and things go wrong, then you are responsible for the damages – even if you didn’t intend for those things to happen. Preventable accidents are the fault of the person who created the hazard.

But the key principle introduced here is that the law will be more than just a schedule of penalties for various crimes. The law teaches people how to think.

That’s what fathers do.

Christians rarely study the Old Testament law. Jesus fulfilled it, so we are liberated from it. In some ways (like with the dietary laws) that’s the end of it, but knowing the law can still be useful.

“But this is the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel after those days, says the LORD: I will put My law in their minds, and write it on their hearts; and I will be their God, and they shall be My people.” – Jeremiah 31:33 (NKJV)

This passage is quoted three times in the New Testament (Rom. 2:15, Heb. 8:10 and Heb. 10:16) and assumed elsewhere (e.g., 2 Cor. 3:3). It’s obviously important, but how should we respond? Are we supposed to study the law so as to write it on our hearts?

Jeremiah 31:33 says otherwise. Memorizing the Old Testament law is the Old Testament way. We get something better. The Holy Spirit writes the law on our hearts.

Still, all scripture is God breathed. So we are called to study it, under the illumination of the Holy Spirit.

5 Exodus 22:1-6 (NKJV)

“If a man steals an ox or a sheep, and slaughters it or sells it, he shall restore five oxen for an ox and four sheep for a sheep. If the thief is found breaking in, and he is struck so that he dies, there shall be no guilt for his bloodshed. If the sun has risen on him, there shall be guilt for his bloodshed. He should make full restitution; if he has nothing, then he shall be sold for his theft. If the theft is certainly found alive in his hand, whether it is an ox or donkey or sheep, he shall restore double.

“If a man causes a field or vineyard to be grazed, and lets loose his animal, and it feeds in another man’s field, he shall make restitution from the best of his own field and the best of his own vineyard.

“If fire breaks out and catches in thorns, so that stacked grain, standing grain, or the field is consumed, he who kindled the fire shall surely make restitution.”

The hierarchy of penalties for cattle rustling is well designed to deter it. If you’re caught with a stolen animal, you have to give it back, plus another one just like it. Many common thieves don’t have another one to give. (If they did, why steal one in the first place?) In that case, they lose their freedom. (That’s what, “*if he has nothing, then he shall be sold for his theft*” amounts to.) Six years of indentured servitude is a pretty intimidating prospect.

But if they sold the animal (which makes it much harder to get caught) the penalty skyrockets. Add three more oxen (or two more sheep) to the restoration. Few people would be able to pay that. Slavery looms large in this scenario.

It’s curious that the repayment ratio is higher with oxen. There are two theories as to why this makes sense. First, oxen grow slower and, thus, are harder to replace. But I prefer the theory that getting on without an ox is tougher than getting on without a sheep. A sheep produces wool every spring, but an ox is useful every day as a beast of burden. Lose your ox and much of your farming operation shuts down.

Another puzzle in this passage is the dramatic contrast in what is permissible in defending your home at night versus in the day. Remember that at this point in history, night can be pitch black. This makes fights dangerous and unpredictable. Thus, Mosaic Law is “stand your ground” at night, but only at night. This values the life of the criminal more than many states do.

<http://criminal.findlaw.com/criminal-law-basics/states-that-have-stand-your-ground-laws.html>

As we get down in the weeds of the law, the underlying wisdom of it all becomes increasingly obvious. The Mosaic Law compares well with American Law. Given how many centuries ago this was written, that’s impressive.

There’s something comforting about knowing that an all wise God is in charge – and that we see the wisdom in God’s laws and have decided to emulate them.

Praise God for being who He is. Worship Him, not just what He has done.

6 Exodus 22:7-15 (ESV)

“If a man gives to his neighbor money or goods to keep safe, and it is stolen from the man's house, then, if the thief is found, he shall pay double. If the thief is not found, the owner of the house shall come near to God to show whether or not he has put his hand to his neighbor's property. For every breach of trust, whether it is for an ox, for a donkey, for a sheep, for a cloak, or for any kind of lost thing, of which one says, ‘This is it,’ the case of both parties shall come before God. The one whom God condemns shall pay double to his neighbor.

“If a man gives to his neighbor a donkey or an ox or a sheep or any beast to keep safe, and it dies or is injured or is driven away, without anyone seeing it, an oath by the LORD shall be between them both to see whether or not he has put his hand to his neighbor's property. The owner shall accept the oath, and he shall not make restitution. But if it is stolen from him, he shall make restitution to its owner. If it is torn by beasts, let him bring it as evidence. He shall not make restitution for what has been torn.

“If a man borrows anything of his neighbor, and it is injured or dies, the owner not being with it, he shall make full restitution. If the owner was with it, he shall not make restitution; if it was hired, it came for its hiring fee.

These rules are complex because they deal with tough cases to adjudicate. Figuring out who is guilty can be hard. In many cases, they need God’s help.

The phrase, “*come near to God*” can be puzzling. While the Hebrew literally says, “come near to God” it means “appear before the judges.” Some translations (e.g., NKJV) even translate it that way. But the expression, “come near to God” highlights God’s blessing on the judicial process; the truth will out. This, combined with the harsh penalties that can be imposed should deter a lot of mischief.

This fits well with the high value placed on “*an oath by the LORD*”. The owner is bound to accept this oath from the keeper of his property. While the Pentateuch does not define any specific sanctions for oaths taken in vain or broken later, it is clear that oaths are very serious and totally permanent. For example, see Numbers 30.

Christians all make one essential oath – “Jesus is Lord.” A while back, I discovered that I wasn’t taking that as seriously as I should. It wasn’t a matter of failing to keep the commitment; I just failed to keep it in the front of my mind. The result was some excitement that brought my attention back to where it belongs.

That’s a pretty common mistake. Most Christian failings aren’t big, marquee sins; we just quietly slack off from taking the lordship of Jesus Christ seriously. We call Him Lord but don’t really mean it as a practical matter. We don’t practice kingdom living.

Teaching Kingdom living is a major focus here at The Fellowship of Ailbe. Here’s one helpful resource.

<https://www.ailbe.org/bookstore/kingdomvision/the-kingdom-turn>

Questions for reflection or discussion

1. Have you ever watched someone become corrupted by money?
2. What's the most important thing in the universe?
3. What is our greatest blessing?
4. Do you find any parts of the ceremonial law instructive?
5. Name a law you don't like or think should be changed.
6. What does it mean to practice kingdom living?

Items for prayer: